

CANADIAN  
PSYCHOLOGICAL  
ASSOCIATION



SOCIÉTÉ  
CANADIENNE  
DE PSYCHOLOGIE

**Addressing Climate Change in Canada: The Importance of Psychological Science**

A Position Paper of the  
Canadian Psychological Association  
Approved by Board of Directors – January 19, 2021

Prepared by:  
Lindsay McCunn, PhD, Chair  
Robert Gifford, PhD  
Jennifer A. Veitch, PhD  
Katherine Arbuthnott, PhD  
Jiaying Zhao, PhD  
Paul Arnold-Schutta, MA  
Charlotte Young, MA  
Nicole Jardine, BSc

The Canadian Psychological Association (CPA) is the national association for the science, practice, and education of psychology in Canada. The CPA's vision is a society where understanding of diverse human needs, behaviours and aspirations drive legislation, policies and programs for individuals, organizations and communities. We aim to accomplish this by advancing research, knowledge and the application of psychology in the service of society through advocacy, support and collaboration.

The CPA is committed to working with government, and other health and science stakeholders, to advocate for evidence-based policies that best meet the needs of the public it serves. In this position paper, the CPA draws upon the science and practice of psychology, particularly in the areas of mental health, environmental psychology and behaviour change, to inform how Canada responds to climate change threats.

Societal responses to climate change include limiting the degree of climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions, mitigating the effects of climate change, and adapting to new conditions. Psychological science is key to the success of these efforts. Research findings in the field of environmental psychology demonstrate how natural and built settings affect human health and wellness and how human behaviour and individual decision-making in turn affect the natural and built environment. An understanding of human behaviour and decision-making must inform government policies that aim to conserve nature, promote energy-efficient building practices, and help Canadians make environmentally sustainable decisions in all their activities.

### ***The Impact of Human Behaviour on the Environment***

- Individuals are more likely to take pro-environmental action when they know what to do and believe that they can do it.
- Those who hold more materialistic values have lower wellbeing, and are less likely to hold pro-environmental attitudes and behave eco-consciously. However, individuals who affiliate with others, and have a sense of community, and have greater wellbeing behave in more pro-environmental ways.
- Many individuals tend to justify their behaviours, which can limit good intentions toward the environment. Recognizing this can be important for overcoming barriers to climate action.
- It can be difficult for many people to understand their own carbon footprint. It is also challenging for consumers to identify products that are the least and most environmentally harmful.
- Developing a sense of global identity can motivate people to act in pro-environmental ways.

### ***The Impact of the Natural Environment on Mental Health and Wellbeing***

- Natural landscapes increase positive emotions and reduce negative emotions.
- Living near to a natural landscape reduces the risk of depression, anxiety, and stress in the long-term. Children who live near to nature cope better with stress and develop psychological resilience. Those who do not grow up near nature are more likely to be diagnosed with an emotional illness later in life.

- How often one encounters a natural landscape is more important to mental health than how much time is spent in it. Green spaces should be widely distributed in cities and towns, accessible to residents of all ages and abilities.
- Contact with nature increases pro-social behaviours like helpfulness and generosity, and improves attention, working memory, and self-control.
- Successful community-based, culturally-responsive disaster preparedness programs integrate emotional and mental health factors.

### ***The Impact of the Built Environment on Mental health and Wellbeing***

- Most people spend most of their time indoors and buildings significantly affect behaviour, health, and well-being. Green buildings (those designed according to the principles of the Green Building movement) enhance satisfaction with the environment and sleep quality, and those who visit, live, or work in them find them more visually and physically pleasing. Some studies suggest that green buildings positively affect job satisfaction and employer-assessed productivity.
- While technologies exist to dramatically reduce building energy use, more research is needed to ensure that interior conditions in buildings that use these technologies support the well-being of those who use them.

**Because of these and other key research findings, the CPA recommends that:**

**1. *Communications to the public, by federal, provincial and territorial governments, about human behaviour and the environment should:***

- Emphasize that climate change, and its many impacts, is happening now everywhere .
- Increase public literacy about environmental issues so that consumers better understand how the products they use affect the environment.
- Empower and support, rather than frighten, people into changing their behaviour towards the environment. Use visual images to talk about climate change and ones that are not overtly frightening. Promote hope, not despair.
- Show the important personal, social, and economic benefits of climate-positive actions.
- Foster a global identity—the environment depends on the collective action of all the planet’s people.
- Promote the importance of the natural environment to human health and wellbeing.
- Remind citizens that every climate-positive action helps.

**2. *Climate policy undertaken by federal, provincial and/or territorial governments must:***

- Be informed by psychological science so that climate change programs, national building codes, regulations, and incentive programs for energy-efficient technologies, are based on the latest understandings of human behaviour and behaviour change.
- Preserve and protect natural landscapes and parks in all areas of the country, including those in urban areas. Natural areas significantly benefit mental health and well-being for individuals, families and communities.

- Ensure that energy-efficient indoor settings support health and well-being as defined by the World Health Organization<sup>1</sup>, and that such policies be included in the *National Energy Code of Canada for Buildings* and the *National Building Code of Canada*.
  - Recognize and reward those individuals and organizations who make extraordinary efforts toward environmental sustainability.
  - Ensure that risk management and emergency preparedness plans following a climate event support the displacement and relocation of people, as well as the delivery of mental health and crisis responses services.
3. ***Federal, provincial, and territorial governments support **research** that addresses the relationship between health, wellbeing, human behaviour and climate change with particular attention to:***
- Social norms, pro-environmental attitudes, and environmentally-adaptive behaviours at the individual, community, corporate, and national levels and how these can promote effective programs and policies that reduce carbon-producing behaviours.
  - Cross-cultural research on values and factors that can create a stronger culture of nature conservation in Canada.
  - Increase our understanding of how to prepare individuals to cope with extreme climate events.
  - The complex relationship between climate change and mental health, including how to treat climate-related mental health problems (e.g. eco-anxiety, stress disorders following an environmental event).
  - How to increase climate change literacy among Canadians.
  - Identifying barriers to pro-environmental behaviour that hinder the pro-climate choices of individuals, organizations, and communities.
  - Rigorously evaluating ways in which communities can help individuals and organizations engage in environmentally sustainable behaviour.

## References

---

<sup>1</sup> World Health Organization (WHO). (1948). Preamble. In *Constitution of the World Health Organization as adopted by the International Health Conference, New York, 19-22 June, 1946; signed on 22 July 1946 by the representatives of 61 States (Official Records of the World Health Organization, no. 2, p. 100) and entered into force on 7 April 1948*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO.

WHO definition of Health: Health is a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity.

- Beaute, F., & de Kort, Y.A.W. (2014a). Natural resistance: Exposure to nature and self regulation, mood, and physiology after ego-depletion. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 40*, 167-178.
- Berman, M.C., Jonides, J., & Kaplan, S. (2008). The cognitive benefits of interacting with nature. *Psychological Science, 19*, 1207-1212.
- Berman, M.G., Kross, E., Korpan, K.M., Askren, M.K., Burson, A., Deldin, P.J., Kaplan, S., Sherdell, L., Gotlib, I.H., & Jonides, J. (2012). Interacting with nature improves cognition and affect for individuals with depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 140*, 300-305.
- Berry, M.S., Sweeney, M.M., Morath, J., Odum, A.L., & Jordan, K.E. (2014). The nature of impulsivity: Visual exposure to natural environments decreases impulsive decision-making in a delay discounting task, *PLoS ONE 9*, e97915.
- Brooks, A. M., Ottley, K. M., Arbuthnott, K. D., Sevigny, P. (2017). Nature-related mood effects: Season and type of nature contact. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 54*, 91-102.
- Carrus, G., Scopelliti, M., Laforteza, R., Colangelo, G., Ferrini, F., Salbitano, F., Agrimi, M., Portoghesi, L., Semenzato, P., & Sanesi, G. (2015). Go greener, feel better? The positive effects of biodiversity on the well-being of individuals visiting urban and peri-urban green areas. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 134*, 221-228.
- Chow, J. T., & Lau, S. (2015). Nature gives us strength: Exposure to nature counteracts ego depletion. *The Journal of Social Psychology, 155*, 70-85.
- Cox, D.T.C., Shanahan, D.F., Hudson, H.L., Fuller, R.A., Anderson, K., Hancock, S., & Gaston, K.J. (2017). Doses of nearby nature simultaneously associated with multiple health benefits. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 14*, 172-185.
- Dale, A. (2011). *Växjö, Sweden: The Greenest City in Europe*. Victoria: CRC Research Royal Roads University.
- Emelianoff, C. (2014). Local energy transition and multilevel climate governance: The contrasted experiences of two pioneer cities (Hanover, Germany, and Vaxjo, Sweden). *Urban Studies, 51*, 1378-1393.
- Engemann, K., Pedersen, C.B., Arge, L., Tsiroglannis, C., Mortensen, P.B., & Svenning, J-C. (2019). Residential green space in childhood is associated with lower risk of psychiatric disorders from adolescence into adulthood. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 116*, 5188–5193.
- Fuller, R.A., Irvine, K.N., Devine-Wright, P., Warren, P.H., & Gaston, K.J. (2007).

- Psychological benefits of greenspace increase with biodiversity. *Biology Letters*, 3, 390-394.
- Gamble, K.R., Howard, J.H., & Howard, D.V. (2014). Not just scenery: Viewing nature pictures improves executive attention in older adults. *Experimental Aging Research*, 40, 513-530.
- Gifford, R. (2008). Psychology's essential role in climate change. *Canadian Psychology/psychologie canadienne*, 49, 273-280.
- Gifford, R. (2011). The dragons of inaction: Psychological barriers that limit climate change mitigation and adaptation. *American Psychologist*, 66, 290-302.
- Gifford, E., & Gifford, R. (2016). The largely unacknowledged impact of climate change on mental health. *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, 72, 292-297.
- Hurst, M., Dittmar, H., Bond, R., & Kasser, T. (2013). The relationship between materialistic values and environmental attitudes and behaviors: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 36, 257-269.
- James, L. E., Weldon-Mitchell, C., Noel, J. R., & James, A. S. (2019). Integrating mental health and disaster preparedness in intervention: a randomized controlled trial with earthquake and flood-affected communities in Haiti. *Psychological Medicine*, 1-11.
- Kaplan, S., & Berman, M.G. (2010). Directed attention as a common resource for executive functioning and self-regulation. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 5, 43-57.
- Kuo, M., Browning, H. E., M., Sachdeva, S., Lee, K., & Westphal, L. (2018). Might school performance grow on trees? Examining the link between "greenness" and academic achievement in urban, high-poverty schools. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 1669.
- Kuo, M., Barnes, M., & Jordan, C. (2019). Do experiences with nature promote learning? Converging evidence of a cause-and-effect relationship. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 305.
- Kuo, F. E., & Sullivan, W. C. (2001a). Environment and crime in the inner city: Does vegetation reduce crime? *Environment and Behavior*, 33, 343-367.
- Kuo, F.E., & Sullivan, W. C. (2001b). Aggression and violence in the inner city: Effects of environment via mental fatigue. *Environment and Behavior*, 33, 543-571.
- Lacroix, K., & Gifford, R. (2018). Psychological barriers to energy-conservation behavior: The role of worldviews and climate change risk perception. *Environment and Behavior*, 50, 749-780.
- McCunn, L. J., & Gifford, R. (2012). Do green offices affect employee engagement and environmental attitudes? *Architectural Science Review*, 55, 128-134.

- McMahan, E.A., & Estes, D. (2015). The effect of contact with natural environments on positive and negative affect: A meta-analysis. *The Journal of Positive Psychology, 10*, 507-519.
- Neill, C., Gerard, J., & Arbuthnot, K.D. (2018). Nature contact and mood benefits: Contact duration and mood type. *The Journal of Positive Psychology, 14*, 756–767.
- Newsham, G. R., Birt, B. J., Arsenault, C., Thompson, A. J. L., Veitch, J. A., Mancini, S., Galasiu, A. D., Gover, B. N., Macdonald, I. A., & Burns, G. J. (2013). Do ‘green’ buildings have better indoor environments? New evidence. *Building Research & Information, 41*, 415-434.
- Newsham, G. R., Veitch, J. A., & Hu, Y. (2018). Effect of green building certification on organizational productivity metrics. *Building Research & Information, 46*, 755-766.
- Niemec, C.P., Ryan, R.M., & Deci, E.L. (2009). The path taken: Consequences of attaining intrinsic and extrinsic aspirations in post-college life. *Journal of Research in Personality, 43*, 291-306.
- Nisbet, E.K., & Zelenski, J.M. (2011). Underestimating nearby nature: Affective forecasting errors obscure the happy path to sustainability. *Psychological Science, 22*, 1101-1106.
- O'Rourke, D., & Lollo, N. (2015). Transforming Consumption: From Decoupling, to Behavior Change, to System Changes for Sustainable Consumption. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 40*, 233-259.
- Passmore, H.-A., & Howell, A.J. (2014). Nature involvement increases hedonic and eudaimonic well-being: A two-week experimental study. *Ecopsychology, 6*, 148-154.
- Piff, P.K., Dietze, P., Feinberg, M., Sancato, D.M., & Keltner, D. (2015). Awe, the small self, and prosocial behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 108*, 883-899.
- Poore, J. & Nemecek, T. (2018). Reducing food’s environmental impacts through producers and consumers. *Science, 360* (6392), 987-992.
- Schwartz, S. (2009). Culture matters: National value cultures, sources, and consequences. In R. S. Wyer, C. Chui & Y. Hong (Eds), *Understanding culture: Theory, research and application* (pp. 127-150). New York: Psychology Press.
- Sheldon, K. M., Nichols, C. P., & Kasser, T. (2011). Americans recommend smaller ecological footprints when reminded of intrinsic American values of self-expression, family, and generosity. [Article]. *Ecopsychology, 3*, 97-104.
- Tobler, C., Visschers, V. H. M. & Siegrist, M. (2011). Organic Tomatoes Versus Canned Beans: How Do Consumers Assess the Environmental Friendliness of Vegetables? *Environment and Behavior, 43*, 591-611.

- Van den Bosch, M.A., Ostergren, P.-O., Grahn, P., Skarback, E., & Wahrborg, P. (2015). Moving to serene nature may prevent poor mental health – results from a Swedish longitudinal cohort study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *12*, 7974-7989.
- Van Valkengoed, A. M., & Steg, L. (2019). Meta-analyses of factors motivating climate change adaptation behaviour. *Nature Climate Change* *9*, 158–163.
- Veitch, J. A. (2008). Investigating and influencing how buildings affect health: Interdisciplinary endeavours. *Canadian Psychology*, *49*, 281-288.
- Veitch, J. A. (2012). Work environments. In S. D. Clayton (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Environmental and Conservation Psychology* (pp. 248-275). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Veitch, J. A. (2019). How psychologists can contribute to individual well-being, organizational productivity, and saving the planet through better buildings. In D. Dozois & P. Graf (Eds.), *Handbook of the state of the art in applied psychology* (in press). New York: Wiley.
- Weinstein, N., Przybylski, A.K., & Ryan, R.M. (2009). Can nature make us more caring? Effects of immersion in nature on intrinsic aspirations and generosity. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *35*, 1315-1329.
- Weinstein, N., Balmford, A., DeHaan, C.R., Gladwell, V., Bradbury, R.B., Amano, T. (2015). Seeing community for the trees: the links among contact with natural environments, community cohesion, and crime. *BioScience*, *65*, 1141–1153.
- Wells, N. M. (2000). At home with nature: Effects of "greenness" on children's cognitive functioning. *Environment and Behavior*, *32*, 775-795.
- Wells, N. M., & Evans, G. W. (2003). Nearby nature: A buffer of life stress among rural children. *Environment and Behavior*, *35*, 311-330.
- Wynes, S., Zhao, J., & Donner, S. (2020). The limits to public carbon numeracy. *Climatic Change*, *162*, 1521-1534.